Student Evaluation of Instruction **Graphical Report**

Term: Spring 2018 Responses: 20/29 (69%)

Section: **GEO314-01 (2164)**

Title: Issues in Urban Planning

Instructor: Scott Le Vine

Include Open-Ended Responses: Yes

1. This course is part of my (check the appropriate categories):

Responses: **20/20 (100%)**



2. This course covered the material described on the syllabus.

Responses:

20/20 (100%)

Mean:

4.65

Standard Deviation:

0.49



3. The instructor's teaching methods engaged my interest in the subject matter.

Responses:


20/20 (100%)

Mean:

4.45

Standard Deviation:

0.60



Strongly Agree (5)

Agree (4)

Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)



Responses:

20/20 (100%)

Mean:

4.55

Standard Deviation:

0.60



5. The instructor's presentations of material were well organized.

Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.58

Standard Deviation:

0.51



Strongly Agree (5)Agree (4)

6. The instructor's assignments and/or exams were well aligned with the course material.

Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.68

Standard Deviation:

0.48



Strongly Agree (5)

Agree (4)

7. The instructor returned students' assignments within a reasonable time frame as stated by the professor.

Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.63

Standard Deviation:

0.50





Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.53

Standard Deviation:

0.77



9. If I chose to visit the instructor during office hours, the instructor was helpful.

Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.44

Standard Deviation:

0.81



Strongly Agree (5)

Agree (4)

Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)

Not applicable



Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.74

Standard Deviation:

0.45



11. The instructor, overall, was an effective teacher.

Responses:


19/20 (95%)

Mean:

4.63

Standard Deviation:

0.60




Strongly Agree (5)

Agree (4)

Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)

12. Based on your performance, estimate your grade in this course.

Responses: **19/20 (95%)**



13. Please offer suggestions that would improve future versions of this course. For instance, you might comment on use of class time, homework assignments, course materials, content delivery, or any other academic components of the course.

Responses: 4/20 (20%)

It was well organized, don't change anything

Add more visuals or field trips to the class, every day with lectures and packed power points gets boring even when the material is interesting, you just need to switch it up a bit.

I suggest more imagery and less text on slides. This could makes it easier for students to absorb the content. I do enjoy being able to have print outs of the slides so students can write notes along with the presentation.

I felt class time was used effectively. Professor Le Vine incorporated knowledgeable guest speakers who provided a different perspective of things. The assignments were effective in learning. The tests were straightforward.

14. Please reflect on your experience in this course. Did the instructor foster a productive learning environment?

Responses: 5/20 (25%)

Yes

Yes, I didn't know what to expect coming into the class and I really enjoyed it. I think the material was pretty interesting and the teacher certainly knew everything there was to know about urban planning. Very nice and understanding teacher

This course sparked my interest very much in Urban Planning. This is my fourth semester with Professor Levine and hasn't let me down once. Very organized, fair and always open for discussion. Very intelligent in his field as well!

Yes, I am satisfied by the course and how he taught it. He gave us plenty of opportunities for questions or help if we needed it.

Professor Le Vine definitely fostered a productive learning environment. He's very easy to reach and always provides time to ask questions. He's very easy to work with and does not pass judgment on silly questions.