# Student Evaluation of Instruction **Graphical Report**

Term: Spring 2017

Section: **GEO273-02 (1195)** 


Title: Physical Geography

Instructor: Scott Le Vine

Include Open-Ended Responses: Yes

### 1. This course is part of my (check the appropriate categories):

Responses: 24/24 (100%)



Major, required
 General Education

Responses: 24/30 (80%)

#### 2. This course covered the material described on the syllabus.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.83

Standard Deviation:

0.39



Strongly Agree (5)Agree (4)

3. The instructor's teaching methods engaged my interest in the subject matter.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.00

Standard Deviation:

1.13



Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)
Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
Disagree (2)

4. The instructor informed me about how I would be evaluated.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean: **4.74** 

~...

Standard Deviation:

0.45



Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)

5. The instructor's presentations of material were  $\underline{\textit{well}}$  organized.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.74

Standard Deviation:

0.45



Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)

6. The instructor's assignments and/or exams were well aligned with the course material.

Responses:

23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.78

Standard Deviation:

0.42



#### 7. The instructor returned students' assignments within a reasonable time frame as stated by the professor.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.74

Standard Deviation:

0.45



#### 8. The instructor provided useful feedback for improvement throughout the semester.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.52

Standard Deviation:

0.68





#### 9. If I chose to visit the instructor during office hours, the instructor was helpful.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.61

Standard Deviation:

0.61



Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)
Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
Not applicable

#### 10. The instructor provided opportunities for students to ask questions in the course.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.74

Standard Deviation:

0.45



Strongly Agree (5)Agree (4)

#### 11. The instructor, overall, was an effective teacher.

Responses:


23/24 (96%)

Mean:

4.48

Standard Deviation:


0.67



Strongly Agree (5)Agree (4)Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)

#### 12. Based on your performance, estimate your grade in this course.

Responses: 23/24 (96%)



# 13. Please offer suggestions that would improve future versions of this course. For instance, you might comment on use of class time, homework assignments, course materials, content delivery, or any other academic components of the course.

Responses: 6/24 (25%)

I felt the content of the material was boring.

Lectures could get a little long sometimes so maybe try some group activities durning the slideshows

No suggestions

Professor is great, some of the students do not seem engaged, but this is not his fault.

Content was boring at times and instructor did not really engage the class in the material. In the future, I suggest having in class activities that spark the interest of the class rather than going over power points everyday.

The class overall just needs to be more engaging because it seemed like it was all lecture.

## 14. Please reflect on your experience in this course. Did the instructor foster a productive learning environment?

Responses: 7/24 (29%)

I think Professor Le Vine did a great job of making his lectures interesting -- which is not an easy thing to do at 8 AM. Really enjoyed the class and it was easy to tell that he enjoys teaching and wants his students not only to do well but to really understand the material.

I did feel the instructor fostered a productive learning environment.

He was a very nice teacher

Extra credit opportunities would've been nice.

| Yes  |  |
|--|--|
| Great professor, actually got me interested in the course. |  |
| I felt very productive in the class altogether             |  |